

GEOBRUGG Conference, Metsovo, Greece

June 28th to 29th, 2002

Design of Rock Fall Mitigation Measures
- A State of the Art Report -

By Dr. Raymund M. Spang

Contents

- Definition
- Overview on modern rockfall mitigation measures
- Basic conditions for design
- Design of rockfall barriers
- Actual information on the state of European Guideline on the testing of Barriers
- Practical example from a Japanese railway line including a rockfall simulation programme.

Definition of rockfall

- Rockfall is a mass movement in steep slopes, including one or a few rolling, bouncing, sliding or falling rock blocks, without a continuous contact with the slope surface. Its volume is restricted to a few cubic meters.

Modern rockfall mitigation measures

- Active measures, definition, examples: scaling, anchoring, netting, shotcrete sealing or covering (not regarded within this lecture).
- Passive measures, definition, examples: stops or diverts rockfall; berms, galleries, ditches, barriers.

Basic conditions for the need of rockfall mitigation measures

- There are instable rock blocks on a slope or rock can become instable;
- Rockfall can be triggered;
- Slope inclination allows for an acceleration;
- There is a sensitive object within the range of rockfall;
- Rockfall represents an unacceptable risk for this object.

Basic technical questions for design

- Kinetic energy (See)
- Bounce height (hit on trees)
- Distribution of energy and bounce height.
- Return period vs. energy and bounce height. (Rocks aside a road – Arnsberg, Kehlstein)

Basic approach

- Kinetic energy: from in situ tests by measuring velocity or from back calculation of velocity from foot prints (Arnsberg); by using potential kinetic energy or by rockfall simulation.
- Bounce height: from in situ tests or by measuring the height of hits on trees, or from back calculation of velocity from footprints (Arnsberg); or by rockfall simulation.

- Distribution of energy and bounce height: by back calculation from mapping debris cones (energy) and systematic mapping of hits on trees; or by rockfall simulation.
- Return period: by existing records or by assessment of the decisive geological factors.

Pre-selection of the appropriate measure

- Energy range of different passive measures.(diagram)
- Feasible heights of structures;
- Cost and maintenance.

Design of selected mitigation measures

- Galleries: Swiss recommendations on forces on galleries against rockfall (not considered in this lecture)
- Ditches: RITCHIE
- Dams: no generally accepted rules for dimensioning dams against impacts; see for example PLONER, SÖNSER, TROPPER
- Barriers: According to the following example

Koumi Line, Nagano Prefecture, Japan (SPANG & KRAUTER, 2001)

Project

General geotechnical information

- Slope geometry
- Surface near geological conditions
- Size of instable rock blocks (rock face, debris cone)
- Triggering (freezing, earthquakes, high precipitation)
- Acceleration
- Risk (2 existing mitigation structures, partly destroyed)
- Bounce height indications (trees, structure)
- Frequency/return period

Rockfall simulation

- Programme
- Pre-selection of input data
- Calibration
- Results (bounce height and energy distributions, location etc.)

Safety factors

- Conventional safety factors for energy and height of structure
- Probabilistic approach

Selection of barrier (EOTA, Swiss guideline for the approval of rockfall protection kits, SPANG, 2002)

- “Minimal height”
- “Minimal energy”
- “Maximal deformation”
- „Service energy“
- „Zero maintenance“
- Other technical considerations (Corrosion protection, foundation)

Kinetic energy

$$E_{kin} = f \cdot E_{pot}; \quad 0 \leq f \leq 1 \quad (1)$$

$$E_{tot} = E_{trans} + E_{rot} \quad (2)$$

$$E_{trans} = \frac{m}{2} v^2 \quad (3)$$

$$E_{rot} = \frac{1}{2} I \omega^2 \quad (4)$$

$$E_{tot} = \frac{1}{2} (m \cdot v^2 + I \omega^2) \quad (5)$$

Conventional safety factors

$$h_D = h_{\max} \cdot f \quad f_h \geq 1,5 \dots\dots 2 \dots\dots$$

$$E_D = E_{\max} \cdot f \quad f_E \geq 1,5 \dots\dots 2 \dots\dots$$

Probabilistic approach

- Probability P_v of certain rockfall volumes (from geotechnical mapping)

Vol. m ³	Return period a	Probability $P_{v/a}$ /
0,5	1	1
5	50	2×10^{-2}
30	500	2×10^{-3}

- Design energy E_D for a selected return period n
 - $V = \text{const}$, $n = 500$ years
 - Rockfall simulation for 10.000 rocks for statistical reliability

Summation diagram

For " $E_D = E_{95}$ " Probability of values $> E_{95}$ $P_{E > E_D}$

$$P_{E > E_D} \{x_i \geq x\} = 1 - 0,95 = 0,05 = 5 \cdot 10^{-2}$$

- **Probability of occurrence P_a für $E \geq E_D / t$**

($t = 1$ year or $t =$ life time of the structure)

$$P = P_v \cdot P_{E > E_D}, \text{ für } n = 500 \text{ years}$$

$$P_{500} = 2 \cdot 10^{-3} \cdot 5 \cdot 10^{-2}$$

$$= 10^{-4}$$

Return period

$$n = \frac{1}{P_{500}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{10^{-4}}$$

$$= 10.000 \text{ years}$$